

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH**  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email: [psic22@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in)



Sh. Gurjit Singh,  
S/o Sh. Yadwinder Singh,  
R/o Behbal Kalan, Tehsil-Jaiton,  
Distt. Faridkot.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Kotakpura, Distt. Faridkot.

First Appellant Authority,  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Distt. Faridkot.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.359/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
14.07.2018	Nil	17.10.2018	Nil	15.01.2019

**Present:       None.**

**ORDER**

Due to technical glitch the video conferencing could not mature.

The matter shall be reheard on **10.07.2019 at 11.30 AM through video conference**  
**at Faridkot.**

**Sd/-**

**10.04.2019**

**(Yashvir Mahajan)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Harpal Singh,  
S/o Sh. Arjan Singh,  
Village-Zahadpur, P.O Jaito Sarja,  
Tehsil-Batala, Distt. Gurdaspur,  
PIN-143505

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Batala, Distt. Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Gurdaspur.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.408/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
30.10.2018	Nil	31.11.2018	Nil	17.01.2019

**Present:** Sh. Harpal Singh, Appellant in person.  
1. Sh. Lakhwinder Singh, DDPO, Gurdaspur,  
2. Sh. Bhagwan Singh, BDPO, Batala – for Respondents.

**ORDER**

**The case has been heard through video conferencing.**

The appellant is aggrieved about the possession of a land in khasra No. 91 of the village and the alleged illegal possession over the village pond. He has put some other posers to the respondents.

The Commission feels that he is seeking redressal to a grievance which is not in the domain of this forum. No information as defined under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act has been asked for. The Commission advises the respondent BDPO to file an application with the Tehsildar, Batala, who shall dispose of the same by passing an order at the earliest.

**Disposed.**

**Sd/-**

**10.04.2019**

**(Yashvir Mahajan)  
State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH**  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Sukhdev Singh,  
S/o Sh. Mela Singh,  
R/o Village-Manuke,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (Development),  
Ludhiana.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.409/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
17.05.2018	Nil	14.08.2018	Nil	15.11.2018

**Present:**        **None on behalf of the Appellant.**  
                     **Sh. Jaswinder Singh – for Respondents.**

**ORDER**

**The case has been heard through video conferencing.**

The appellant is seeking an outcome of a complaint made by him for having been short paid for the dailies of the work put in by him in execution of some development works by the gram panchayat of village Mirpur.

Sh. Jaswinder Singh appearing on behalf of the respondents says that the matter is still under investigation and the appropriate action shall be taken on completion of the enquiry. The information as on date is not available with the respondents. The District Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Ludhiana is advised to complete the enquiry expeditiously and apprise him of the matter/outcome and take appropriate action.

**Disposed.**

**10.04.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Yashvir Mahajan)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Kuldeep Jindal,  
S/o Sh. Dev Raj Jindal,  
R/o Rooop Nagar, W. No. 19,  
Gidderbaha, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Gidderbaha, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Sub District Magistrate,  
Gidderbaha, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.420/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
07.07.2018	Nil	11.09.2018	Nil	16.01.2019

**Present:** None on behalf of the Appellant.  
Sh. Jaswinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary, BDPO Office, Gidderbaha – for Respondents.

**ORDER**

**The case has been heard through video conferencing.**

The appellant had sought an information pertaining to the resolutions passed, the cash book maintained, the details of the grants received and other allied information relating to the execution of various development works.

Sh. Jaswinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that the information has been sent to the appellant under endorsement to the Commission. It has not reached the Commission. Seemingly, it should be in transit. The respondent has sent a whats up message to the Commission to testify the acknowledgement issued by the appellant. The appellant is advised to confirm the receipt of information in writing before the next date of hearing failing which it shall be presumed that he is satisfied with the information thus stated to have been sent and the appeal shall be disposed accordingly.

To come up on **10.07.2019 at 11.30 AM through video conference at Sri Muktsar**

**Sahib.**

**Sd/-**

**10.04.2019**

**(Yashvir Mahajan)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH**  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Hakam Singh,  
S/o Sh. Darshan Singh,  
R/o Village-Madhir, Tehsil-Giddarbaha,  
District-Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Block-Lambi, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.429/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
11.10.2018	Nil	15.11.2018	Nil	03.01.2019

**Present:** Sh. Hakam Singh, Appellant in person.  
None on behalf of the Respondents.

**ORDER**

**The case has been heard through video conferencing.**

The appellant is seeking the details of the vehicles that were used in the execution of various works under NREGA scheme during the period from 2013 to 2018. Despite the endorsement from the senior functionaries the respondents have not bothered to provide him the information. The Commission takes serious note of the lackadaisical conduct of the BDPO, Block Lambi, District Sri Muktsar Sahib. He is directed to provide the information before the next date of hearing and file his written explanation for the inordinate delay in transmitting the information.

To come up at **Chandigarh on 14.05.2019 at 11.30 AM.**

**Sd/-**

**10.04.2019**

**(Yashvir Mahajan)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH**  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Balwinder Singh,  
S/o Sh. Sardara Singh,  
R/o Village Amargarh Kaler,  
Tehsil- Jagraon, Distt. Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Block-Jagraon, Distt.-Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.477/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
20.07.2018	Nil	24.08.2018	Nil	15.01.2019

**Present:** Sh. Balwinder Singh, Appellant in person.  
Sh. Jaswinder Singh, Superintendent, BDPO office, Jagraon – for Respondents.

**ORDER**

**The case has been heard through video conferencing.**

The appellant had sought the record of the various activities of the gram panchayat for the period from 2013 to 2018.

Sh. Jaswinder Singh, Superintendent appearing on behalf of the respondents says that he has brought along the record. The appellant says that it is in a very slipshod form and does not carry any official endorsement. The respondents are directed to provide it along with the official memo in certified form. The appellant may go through it and pinpoint the deficiency, if any. Meanwhile the Panchayat Secretary is desired to explain the undue delay having been taken in providing the information in writing failing which the penal consequences shall follow.

To come up at **Chandigarh on 14.05.2019 at 11.30 AM.**

**Sd/-**

**10.04.2019**

**(Yashvir Mahajan)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH**  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Jagshir Singh,  
S/o Sh. Gian Singh,  
R/o # 9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Panchayat Secretary,  
Gram Panchayat, Village-Kular,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Block Sudhar, Distt. Ludhiana.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.496/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
10.03.2017	Nil	05.05.2017	Nil	24.01.2019

**Present:** None on behalf of the Appellant.  
Sh. Joginderpal Singh, Panchayat Secretary – for Respondents.

**ORDER**

**The case has been heard through video conferencing.**

The appellant is absent. The proxy for respondents says that he has brought along the entire record. He is directed to pass it on to the appellant under intimation to the Commission.

To come up at **on 10.07.2019 at 11.30 AM through video conference at Ludhiana.**

**Sd/-**

**10.04.2019**

**(Yashvir Mahajan)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Kulwant Singh,  
R/o Village-Walia Vihar, Meharbaan,  
Raho Road, Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Panchayat Secretary,  
Gram Panchayat, Walia Vihar  
Block-Ludhiana-2, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Director,  
Rural Development and Panchayat Department,  
SAS Nagar.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.513/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
03.05.2018	Nil	10.08.2018	Nil	25.01.2019

**Present:** Sh. Kulwant Singh, Appellant in person.  
Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, Panchayat Secretary – for Respondents.

**ORDER**

**The case has been heard through video conferencing.**

The appellant had sought the details of expenses incurred on the construction of drains and streets and an overhead water reservoir.

Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, Panchayat Secretary appearing on behalf of the respondents is totally oblivious of the facts. Seemingly, he has not done his home work and is evasive on the matter. The Commission takes serious exception to his conduct and finds it as a willful and malafide suppress of information. Obviously, he has violated the provision of Section 7(1) of the RTI Act and has rendered himself liable for penal consequences.

Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, PIO – cum - Panchayat Secretary is issued a show cause notice to explain in a self- attested affidavit as to why a penalty @ Rs.250/- per day of delay subject to maximum of Rs.25,000/- till the complete information is furnished, be not imposed under Section 20(1) of RTI Act, 2005 on him for causing willful delay / denial of the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the Appellant under Section 19 (8) (b) of the Act for the detriment suffered by him.





-2-

**Appeal Case No.513/2019**

In addition to the written reply, the PIO is also given an opportunity under Section 20 (1) proviso thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file his written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex parte.

He should appear with the entire original record in the Commission on the next date of hearing. Any laxity shall be viewed seriously to the peril of respondents.

To come up at **Chandigarh on 14.05.2019 at 11.30 AM.**

**Sd/-**

**10.04.2019**

**(Yashvir Mahajan)  
State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Ram Kumar,  
S/o Sh. Jagan Nath,  
R/o Village Murali Brahmana,  
PO-Bassi Daulat Khan,  
Tehsil & Distt. Hoshiarpur.

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Hoshiarpur-1.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.105/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
31.03.2018	Nil	Nil	Nil	03.12.2018

**Present:** None on behalf of the Complainant.  
Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, Superintendent, BDPO Office, Hoshiarpur – 2 – for Respondent.

**ORDER**

**The case has been heard through video conferencing.**

Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, Superintendent appearing on behalf of the respondent submits that the information was sent to the complainant under registered cover. However, the postal authorities have returned it with the remarks that the complainant has expired. He has sent photo of the observations of the postal authorities on the whats app to the Commission. He has also been desired to send a written reply to this effect also.

In view of the fact that the complainant has expired, the complaint lapses and is **disposed** accordingly.

**Sd/-**

**10.04.2019**

**(Yashvir Mahajan)**  
**State Information Commissioner**